	Kent F. Larsen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3463	
	Katie M. Weber, Esq.	
	Nevada Bar No. 11736 SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM	
	Hills Center Business Park	
	1935 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
	Tel: (702) 252-5002	
	Fax: (702) 252-5006 kfl@slwlaw.com	
***************************************	kw@slwlaw.com	
	Ben Suter, Esq. (pro hac vice)	
	David D. Piper, Esq. (pro hac vice)	
	James F. Kuhne, Jr., Esq. (pro hac vice) KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN	
	400 Oceangate Long Beach, CA 90802	
	P.O. Box 1730	
	Long Beach, CA 90801-1730 Phone: (562) 436-2000	
	Fax:: (562) 436-7416	
	ben.suter@kyl.com david.piper@kyl.com	
	james.kuhne@kyl.com	
	Attorneys for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as successor	
	by merger to Chase Home Finance LLC, as	
	successor by merger to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp. and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Co	orporation
	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
	DISTRICT OF N	EVADA
	STEVEN and SYLVIA STAUFFER, on behalf of	CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01155-PMP-GWF
	herself and all others similarly situated,	
	Plaintiffs,	
l	vs.	
	CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC; CHASE	PARTIE'S JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION AND
	MANHATTAN MORTGAGE CORP., COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM; and FEDERAL	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY ALL
	HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CÓRP.,	LITIGATION AND DISCOVERY
	Defendants.	PROCEEDINGS FOR 45 DAYS PENDING SETTLEMENT
		NEGOTIATIONS
31		

Case 2:11-cv-01155-PMP-GWF Document 68 Filed 03/18/13 Page 1 of 4

Defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as successor by merger to Chase Home Finance LLC, as successor by merger to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp. ("Chase"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac"), and The Cooper Castle Law Firm ("Cooper Castle") (collectively, "Defendants"), and Plaintiffs Steven Stauffer and Sylvia Stauffer ("Plaintiffs") (Defendants and Plaintiffs collectively referred to as "the Parties"), hereby submit this Joint Status Report to provide the Court with an update regarding the status of these proceedings and, in particular, the Parties' efforts toward achieving a settlement of the claims at issue therein. Further, in light of the ongoing good faith settlement discussions, the Parties hereby stipulate to and respectfully request that this Court grant a forty-five (45) day stay of all litigation and discovery proceedings herein so that the Parties may continue to focus their efforts on achieving a resolution of this matter outside of litigation. As grounds for this stipulated stay of proceedings, the Parties submit the following:

- 1. This Court entered its Scheduling Order on May 15, 2012 (the "Order"), setting a discovery cut-off deadline of December 31, 2012.
- 2. Since that time, the Parties have each served their initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and Plaintiffs have propounded interrogatories and requests for production of documents upon each of the Defendants.
- 3. The Parties have and continue to work in good faith to make reasonable accommodations to their respective obligations under the Order. However, the Parties are currently engaged in good faith settlement negotiations which may result in dismissal of all claims asserted herein. Accordingly, on October 16, 2012, the Parties met and conferred regarding the timing of their respective obligations under the Order and agreed to extend the deadlines to respond to their written discovery requests and seek a forty-five (45) day stay of all pre-trial dates and deadlines while settlement discussions continue.
- 4. Pursuant to the Court's February 25, 2013 Order, the parties report that they are finalizing settlement documentation and the case should not be closed. A 45 day extension is requested to allow finalization of the documents.

1	5. In light of the ongoing settlement negotiations, the Parties believe that good cause
2	exists to grant a forty-five (45) day stay of all proceedings herein. This request is not made for
3	the purposes of delay. Instead, the Parties agree and acknowledge that a temporary stay of all
4	proceedings herein will serve the interests of judicial economy and may allow the Parties to
5	resolve this lawsuit without incurring unnecessary additional fees and costs. See, e.g.,
6	Mediterranean Enterprises, Inc. v. Ssangyong Corp., 708 F.2d 1458, 1465 (9th Cir. 1983)
7	(temporary stay of proceedings applicable to preserve judicial efficiency and fairness).
8	6. Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request that this Court grant the Parties a stay
9	of litigation and discovery proceedings in this action for forty-five (45) days from the date the
10	Court enters its order approving this stipulation.
11	
12	DATED this /4 day of March, 2013. DATED this 14 day of March, 2013.
13	SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM
14	A A A A A A A A A A
15	James F. Kerhnet Buy aguin B. Chille
16	Ken/F. Larsen, Esq. Neyada Bar No. 3463 Nevada Bar No. 3946 Nevada Bar No. 3946
17	Kaftie M. Weber, Esq. 318 S. Maryland Pkwy. Nevada Bar No. 11736 Las Vegas, NV 89101
18	1935 Village Center Circle and Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Paul Grobman, Esq. (pro hac vice)
19	and 555 5 th Ave., 17 th Floor Ben Suter, Esq. (pro hac vice) New York, NY 10017
20	David D. Piper, Esq. (pro hac vice) Attorneys for Plaintif's James F. Kuhne, Jr., Esq. (pro hac vice)
21	KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN 400 Oceangate
22	Long Beach, California 90801-1730 Attorneys for Defendants
23	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as successor by merger to Chase Home Finance LLC, as
24	successor by mcrger to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corp., and Federal National
25	Mortgage Corporation
26	
27	<i> </i>

1	(111)
2	DATED thisday of March, 2013.
	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
3	ALP VIII OF THE STATE OF THE ST
4	
5 \	Josh Cole Ajeklen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7254
6	Timothy Elson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11559
7	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118
8	Attorneys for Defendant The Cooper Castle Law Firm
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED:
15	George Lelina
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	DATED: March 18, 2013
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	